

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1480 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Geun Su Lee 2596 06/30/2003 30205/39440 10/609,509 EXAMINER 06/29/2004 4743 7590 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP WALKE, AMANDA C 6300 SEARS TOWER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 233 S. WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606 1752

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/609,509	LEE, GEUN SU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	/
	Amanda C Walke	1752	
The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of a after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) date of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  It is a reply within the statutory minimum of third pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. HTHS from the mailing date of this common than the mailing date of this common common than the mail that is a second to the common than the mail that is a second to the common than the common	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>30 June 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5)  Claim(s) 1-10,12-17 and 19 is/are allow 6)  Claim(s) 11 and 18 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 1,3 and 12 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. wed.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th 11) The oath or declaration is objected to b			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A The priority documents have been Il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No  received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· <del>-</del> -	Summary (PTO-413)	•
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	_,,,	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 ·	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/609,509

Art Unit: 1752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Specification**

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Throughout the specification the TIMD compound is written as "tetraisoprophyl methylene diphosphonate" when it appears that the compound should actually be "tetraiso**propyl** methylene diphosphonate".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 3, and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: The TIMD compound is written as "tetraisoprophyl methylene diphosphonate" when it appears that the compound should actually be "tetraisopropyl methylene diphosphonate". Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dulog et al (3,882,203), Cleacak et al (4,601,969), or Graham (4,195,997).

Dulog et al disclose hydrocarbon-peroxy hydrocarbon phosphonate compounds uesful as polymerization initiators in polymerizable resin compositions, thus anticipating the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/609,509

Art Unit: 1752

claims 11 and 18 which simply require the presence of a P=O bond- containing hydrocarbon compound in a resin.

Cleacak et al disclose a high contrast UV sensitive photoresist comprising an alpha phosphoryl substituted diazo compound as a sensitizer. The compound comprises a hydrocarbon chain and a P=O bond, thus the reference anticipates the instant claims 11 and 18.

Graham disclose a photopolymerizable composition comprising a photoinitiator, and a flame retardant that comprises both a hydrocarbon chain and a P=O bond. Therefore, the teachings of the reference anticipate the instant claims 11 and 18. *Allowable Subject Matter* 

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The instant claims 1-10, 12-17, and 19 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare a TIMD compound, a photoresist composition comprising the compound, or a process for preparing a photoresist pattern comprising a resist composition containing a TIMD compound.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hatekeyama et al (6,579,658), Minagawa et al (4,224,203), Alexandratos (5,442,085 and 5,256,808), Trochimcznk et al (5,712,347), Grinter et al (6,048,995), Martl et al (5,684,116), Lauke et al (4,935,332), Siddall et al (3,694,526), and Kosolapoff (2,594,455) are cited for their teachings of similar compounds and materials.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,509

Art Unit: 1752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amanda C Walke

Examiner

Art Unit 1752

ACW June 23, 2004